

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/852,965	05/10/2001	Scott F. Sneddon	2478.1002-002	4903
	7590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	
	P.O. BOX 9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			1624	
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/852,965	SNEDDON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sany reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn,  n.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·—	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-32,71-85,98-100,109-124,126</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>71-85,98-100,123,124,126-133 as</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17,20-24,109,118 and 119</u> is/a 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-19, 25-32, 110-117 and120-12</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration. and 147-151 is/are allowed. are rejected. 22 is/are objected to.	e application.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicatio priority documents have been received eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08) 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/852,965

Art Unit: 1624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' response, which included amendment to claims 15, 71, 109,118, 123 and 147, filed on 8/16/2004, is made of record. Claims 15-32, 71-85, 98-100, 109-124, 126-133 and 147-151 are pending.

In view of applicants' response 112 second paragraph rejection made in the previous office action has been obviated. The following new rejections apply.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-17, 20-24, 109, 118 and 119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wee US 4,944,796.

Wee teaches several amino acetanilide compounds, which include generically claimed instant compounds, for use as herbicides. See formula I on column 1 and note the definition of R,  $R^1$ ,  $R^2$  and  $X_n$ . Also note with the given definition of R,  $R^1$ ,  $R^2$  and  $X_n$ . compounds taught by Wee include instant compounds. See col.3-4 for process of making and column 4 to column 14 for examples of compounds made. Particularly see compound 30.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/852,965

Art Unit: 1624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15-17, 20-24,109, 118 and 119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wee US 4,944,796.

Teachings of Wee as discussed in the above 102 rejection is incorporated herein. As noted above, Wee teaches several amino acetanilide compounds, which include generically claimed instant compounds, for use as herbicides.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,965

Art Unit: 1624

Wee differs in not showing examples of all compounds generically claimed for formula I as shown in column 1. However, Wee teaches equivalency of exemplified compounds with those generically claimed for formula I with various variable groups R,  $R^1$ ,  $R^2$  and  $X_n$  with those exemplified in column 4 to column 14

Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to make compounds variously substituted in amino acetanilide as permitted by the reference including instant compounds and expect resulting compounds to possess the uses taught by the art in view of the equivalency teaching outline above.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 71-85, 98-100, 123-124, 126-133 and 147-151 are allowed. Claims 18-19, 25-32, 110-117 and 120-122 are objected but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Said claims are allowable as prior art search in the related area did not teach or suggest the compound, composition and method use embraced in these claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-0674. If Applicants are unable to

Art Unit: 1624

reach Mukund Shah within 24-hour period, they may contact James O. Wilson, Acting-SPE of art unit 1624 at 571-272-0661.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Voulsalaszeneit Bal apolitation ville Venkataraman Balasubramanian

10/30/2004